APPENDIX 1: PLANNING AREA DETAIL The draft Appendix contains details of the proposed concepts applicable to each of the Plan's twelve subareas, or Planning Areas, within the planned urban area north of Palm Avenue.

The purpose of the draft Planning Area Detail Appendix is to explain the proposed protection of natural resources, planned land uses, public areas ("public realm"), urban design requirements, and minimum development capacities of the twelve subareas.

The draft Appendix presents working ideas to create walkable, transit friendly, mixed use neighborhoods and workplaces

based on the environmental characteristics of the Valley.

It should be noted that the Planning Area Detail Appendix has not been updated to reflect several of the plan refinements that were made to the CVP to incorporate the entitled backbone infrastructure north of Bailey Avenue. However, it still provides a useful illustrative approach to the development of each Planning Area.

The draft Appendix is available at the city's website.

PLACEHOLDER

APPENDIX 2: TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT MEASURES The following

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Measures should be used to promote transit use and pedestrian activity and should be incorporated into all new development within the Coyote Valley Plan:

Residential Measures Site Design Measures

- Construct transit amenities such as bus turnouts/bus bulbs, benches, shelters, etc.
- Provide direct, safe, attractive pedestrian access from project land uses to transit stops and adjacent development.
- Provide bicycle lanes, sidewalks and/or paths, connecting project residences to adjacent schools, parks, the nearest transit stop and nearby commercial areas.
- Provide secure and conveniently placed bicycle parking and storage facilities at parks and other facilities.
- Provide neighborhood-serving shops and services within or adjacent to residential project.
- Provide a satellite telecommute center within or near the development.
- Incorporate commercial services onsite or in proximity to residential areas (e.g. day-care, drycleaners, fitness centers, financial services, grocery stores and/or restaurant).

Operational Measures

- Provide transit information kiosks:
- Provide shuttle access to regional rail stations (e.g. Caltrain, ACE, BART);
- Provide or contract for on-site or nearby child care services;
- Offer transit use incentive programs to residents, such as distribution of passes and/or subsidized transit passes

for a local transit system (e.g. providing VTA EcoPass system or equivalent broad spectrum transit passes to all residents).

Commercial, Mixed Use and **Industrial Measures**

Site Design Measures

- · Incorporate physical improvements, such as sidewalk improvements, landscaping and bicycle parking that act as incentives for pedestrian and bicycle modes of travel.
- Provide secure and conveniently located bicycle parking and storage for employees and visitors;
- Provide bicycle and pedestrian connections from the site to the bikeway, pedestrian and trail system.
- Provide park-and-ride lots at the Caltrain station.
- Place assigned car pool and van pool parking spaces at the most desirable on-site locations:
- Provide bike storage lockers and convenient and safe bike parking at the Caltrain station.
- Provide showers and lockers for employees walking or bicycling to work.
- Incorporate commercial services onsite or in proximity to industrial areas (e.g. day-care, drycleaners, fitness centers, financial services, grocery stores and/or restaurants).

Operational Measures

- Provide an on-site TDM coordinator;
- · Provide transit information kiosks;

- Make transportation available during the day and guaranteed ride home programs for emergency use by employees who commute on alternate transportation. (This service may be provided by access to company vehicles for private errands during the workday and/or combined with contractual or pre-paid use of taxicabs, shuttles, or other privately provided transportation.);
- Provide vans for van pools;
- Implementation of a carpool/vanpool program (e.g., carpool ridematching for employees, assistance with vanpool formation, provision of vanpool vehicles, and car-sharing);
- Provide shuttle access to regional rail stations (e.g. Caltrain, ACE, BART);
- Provide or contract for on-site or nearby child care services;
- Offer transit use incentive programs to employees, such as on site distribution of passes and/or subsidized transit passes for a local transit system (e.g. providing VTA EcoPass system or equivalent broad spectrum transit passes to all on-site employees);
- Implementation of parking cash out program for employees (non-driving employees receive transportation allowance equivalent to the value of subsidized parking);
- Encourage use of telecommuting and flexible work schedules;
- Require that deliveries on-site take place during non-peak travel periods.

APPENDIX 3: AFFORDABLE APARTMENT FINANCIAL GAP ANALYSIS

Economic &

Planning Systems, Inc. (EPS) was asked by the City of San José to compare the expected development costs for affordable housing units in Coyote Valley to the values that can be achieved for those units to determine the financing gap(s) that can be expected to result. This analysis may be used to inform policy discussions regarding the mix of incomes in Coyote Valley's affordable housing and the appropriate financial burden or responsibility of developers or other entities.

Basic Assumptions

To estimate the development costs for affordable rental units, EPS began by making the following broad assumptions:

- The affordable rental units would be built by nonprofit developers whose cost structure may not be the same as a for-profit developer.
- The affordable rental units would be provided in three-story buildings with surface parking or four-story buildings with podium parking.
- 3. The average rental unit would be two bedrooms and 911 square feet, and the cost and value calculations for this type of unit would be adequately representative of the average financial gap for all affordable rental units.
- 4. Between the three-story and four-story options, the direct costs of construction would vary only according to the type of parking provided (surface vs. podium) and the efficiency of the building (net leasable area to gross building size), as both building heights would be expected to be woodframe construction.
- 5. The indirect costs of development for both three-and four-story buildings would be the same percentage of direct costs, but would vary on a perunit basis as a result of the different parking costs and efficiency ratios.

6. Land for affordable housing is assumed to be dedicated at no cost to nonprofit housing developers.

Methodology

Development Funding

The development funding of affordable rental units are based on the total mortgage loan proceeds that can be supported by the annual net operating income stream. EPS has estimated the supportable mortgages of two-bedroom rental units at 60, 50, and 30 percent of Median Family Income (MFI) for a threeperson household. Consistent with City policy and competitive requirements for affordable housing subsidies, EPS assumed that 30 percent of total income could be used for housing costs, including an allowance for utilities estimated at \$66 per month according to the Santa Clara County's "Schedule of Allowances for Tenant-Purchased Utilities and Other Services." To calculate the Net Operating Income for each unit, EPS then estimated the vacancy rate, the costs of operating expenses for the property managers/ owners, and an appropriate capital reserve amount. For these assumptions, EPS received input from nonprofit housing providers represented on the Coyote Valley Affordable Housing Focus Group. To convert the Net Operating Income to a supportable mortgage loan, key variables included a 1.15 debt coverage ratio, 30-year repayment period and 5.45 percent interest rate, as prescribed by the California Housing Finance Agency

for tax-exempt financing. Neither rents nor operating expenses are assumed to escalate in this calculation. These cost and revenue estimates and analyses are shown in Table 1.

Development Costs

EPS and a construction cost estimator (Lee Saylor Associates) had estimated the development costs for three- and four-story multifamily units in summer 2004, and EPS had updated those cost estimates to reflect documented cost increases through 2005. Rather than simply using those cost estimates, however, EPS sought additional input from a variety of knowledgeable entities represented on the CVP Affordable Housing Focus Group. Several nonprofit housing developers reviewed EPS's assumptions and provided pro formas from their own most recent comparable development projects, as well as input from their own construction contractors. In addition, the City of San José provided detailed cost information from nine affordable housing projects in which the City is currently participating financially. From these inputs, EPS was able to identify the range and average of various cost inputs and unit sizes and, with input from Focus Group members, select cost estimates believed to be representative for Coyote Valley. These cost estimates and analyses are incorporated into the financial gap analyses shown on Tables 2 and 3.

¹ Since confidentiality of information has been guaranteed, none of the sources can be disclosed.

Financial Gap Findings

Financial Gaps Without Outside Funding

Comparing the development costs per unit (excluding land) to the achievable mortgage loan for these units, EPS has estimated the financial gap that must be filled to enable development of rental housing affordable at various income levels. Table 2 shows the financial gap calculations for the three-story buildings with surface parking. Table 3 shows the same information for the four-story buildings with podium parking. The results are summarized as shown at right.

Greater subsidies are required for fourstory apartments with podium parking because of the much higher cost of podium parking compared to surface parking, and the lower building efficiency ratio.

	Financial Gap per 2-BR Unit without Outside Subsidy		
Income Level	3-Story with Surface Parking	4-Story with Podium Parking	
30% of MFI	\$236,120	\$298,082	
50% of MFI	\$167,808	\$229,770	
60% of MFI	\$133,652	\$195,614	

Financial Gaps With Outside Funding Sources

The financial gap calculations above were determined without inclusion of potential revenues from different funding sources such as tax exempt bonds, Low Income Housing Tax Credits, redevelopment set-asides, etc. While such sources could substantially reduce the financing gaps, there has not yet been a policy position stated about the use or availability of such funding sources to support affordable housing in Coyote Valley. BRIDGE Housing, a nonprofit affordable housing builder represented on the Focus Group, prepared an analysis of the value of affordable housing tax credits as applied to

prototypical development in Coyote Valley (see Table 4). Table 5 recalculates the financial gaps for affordable units assuming that the construction costs of each unit are reduced by the values of the four-percent and nine-percent tax credits. Comparing these financial gap figures to those with no outside funding sources, it is clear that tax credits or other funding sources can have a highly positive effect on the feasibility of affordable housing development, and are particularly critical to subsidize units for the lowest income households. It is also clear that, even with tax credits, land dedication alone will not fully subsidize the construction of affordable rental units in Coyote Valley.

APPENDIX 3, TABLE 1: AFFORDABLE UNIT MORTGAGE ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS

Item	60% of MFI	50% of MFI	30% of MFI
MFI (2005 Est. by HUD for 3-person HH)	\$95,500	\$95,500	\$95,500
MFI Category	60.0%	50.0%	30.0%
Income Limit	\$57,300	\$47,750	\$28,650
Affordable Rent Limits/Year (30% of income limit)	\$17,190	\$14,325	\$8,595
Less Utility Costs/Year ¹	\$792	\$792	\$792
Less Vacancy Losses/Year	5%	5%	5%
Less Operating Expenses/Year	\$4,500	\$4,500	\$4,500
Less Capital Reserves (\$/Unit/Year)	\$300	\$300	\$300
Annual Net Operating Income	\$10,778	\$8,056	\$2,613
Debt Coverage Ratio Required ²	1.15	1.15	1.15
Income Available for Annual Mortgage Repayment	\$9,372	\$7,006	\$2,272
Mortgage Interest Rate ²	5.45%	5.45%	5.45%
Mortgage Repayment Period (years) ²	30	30	30
Loan Fee²	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%
Total Supportable Mortgage Proceeds	\$135,257	\$101,101	\$32,789

¹ Based on \$66 per month utility total for a two bedroom unit as derived from the Schedule of Allowances for Tenant-Purchased Utilities and Other Services for Santa Clara County, October 1 '05.

¹ Mortgage debt coverage ratio, interest rate, term, and loan fees based on California Housing Finance Agency requirements as of December, 2005.
Sources: Non-profit housing developers; BRIDGE Housing Corporation; CalHFA; Economic & Planning Systems, Inc.

APPENDIX 3, TABLE 2: FINANCING GAP ANALYSIS FOR 3-STORY APARTMENTS WITH SURFACE PARKING

İtem	60% of MFI	50% of MFI	30% of MFI
Development Program			
Avg. Unit Size (Net Square Feet)	911	911	911
Efficiency Ratio	89.0%	89.0%	89.0%
Gross Unit Size	1,024	1,024	1,024
Parking Ratio	1.5	1.5	1.5
Unit Values			
MFI (2005 Est. by HUD for 3-person HH)	\$95,500	\$95,500	\$95,500
MFI Category	60.0%	50.0%	30.0%
Income Limit	\$57,300	\$47,750	\$28,650
Affordable Rent Limits/Year (30% of income limit)	\$17,190	\$14,325	\$8,595
Less Utility Costs/Year	\$792	\$792	\$792
Less Vacancy Losses/Year	5%	5%	5%
Less Operating Expenses/Year	\$4,500	\$4,500	\$4,500
Less Capital Reserves (\$/Unit/Year)	\$300	\$300	\$300
Annual Net Operating Income	\$10,778	\$8,056	\$2,613
Debt Coverage Ratio	1.15	1.15	1.15
Annual Mortgage Repayment	\$9,372	\$7,006	\$2,272
Mortgage Interest Rate	5.45%	5.45%	5.45%
Mortgage Repayment Period (years)	30	30	30
Loan Fee	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%
Total Supportable Mortgage Proceeds	\$135,257	\$101,101	\$32,789
Development Costs			
Direct Construction Costs/Gross Bldg SF	\$148	\$148	\$148
Direct Construction Costs/Unit	\$151,648	\$151,648	\$151,648
Direct Surface Parking Construction Costs/Space	\$2,706	\$2,706	\$2,706
Direct Surface Parking Construction Costs/Unit	\$4,059	\$4,059	\$4,059
Site Improvement Cost/Gross Bldg SF Direct Site Improvement Costs/Unit	\$23 \$23,606	\$23 \$23,606	\$23 \$23,606
In-tract Cost/Gross Bldg SF	\$6	\$6	\$6
In-tract Cost/Unit	\$6,142	\$6,142	\$6,142
Indirect Costs as % of Direct Costs Indirect Costs per Unit with Surface Parking	45.0% \$83,455	45.0% \$83,455	45.0% \$83,455
Total Costs per Unit with Surface Parking	\$268,909	\$268,909	\$268,909
Financing Gap (Development Costs—Unit Value)	\$133,652	\$167,808	\$236,120

 $Source: BRIDGE\ Housing\ Corporation; Affordable\ housing\ developers; Economic\ \&\ Planning\ Systems, Inc.$

APPENDIX 3, TABLE 3: FINANCING GAP ANALYSIS FOR 4-STORY APARTMENTS WITH PODIUM PARKING

İtem	60% of MFI	50% of MFI	30% of MFI
Development Program			
Avg. Unit Size (Net Square Feet)	911	911	911
Efficiency Ratio	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%
Gross Unit Size	1,139	1,139	1,139
Parking Ratio	1.5	1.5	1.5
Unit Values			
MFI (2005 Est. by HUD for 3-person HH)	\$95,500	\$95,500	\$95,500
MFI Category	60.0%	50.0%	30.0%
Income Limit	\$57,300	\$47,750	\$28,650
Affordable Rent Limits/Year (30% of income limit)	\$17,190	\$14,325	\$8,595
Less Utility Costs/Year	\$792	\$792	\$792
Less Vacancy Losses/Year	5%	5%	5%
Less Operating Expenses/Year	\$4,500	\$4,500	\$4,500
Less Capital Reserves (\$/Unit/Year)	\$300	\$300	\$300
Annual Net Operating Income	\$10,778	\$8,056	\$2,613
Debt Coverage Ratio	1.15	1.15	1.15
Annual Mortgage Repayment	\$9,372	\$7,006	\$2,272
Mortgage Interest Rate	5.45%	5.45%	5.45%
Mortgage Repayment Period (years)	30	30	30
Loan Fee	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%
Total Supportable Mortgage Proceeds	\$135,257	\$101,101	\$32,789
Development Costs			
Direct Construction Costs/Gross Bldg SF Direct Construction Costs/Unit	\$148 \$168,708	\$148 \$168,708	\$148 \$168,708
Direct Podium Parking Construction Costs/Space Direct Podium Parking Construction Costs/Unit	\$17,590 \$26,385	\$17,590 \$26,385	\$17,590 \$26,385
Site Improvement Cost/Gross Bldg SF Direct Site Improvement Costs/Unit	\$23 \$26,261	\$23 \$26,261	\$23 \$26,261
In-tract Cost/Gross Bldg SF In-tract Cost/Unit	\$6 \$6,833	\$6 \$6,833	\$6 \$6,833
Indirect Costs as % of Direct Costs Indirect Costs per Unit with Surface Parking	45.0% \$102,684	45.0% \$102,684	45.0% \$102,684
Total Costs per Unit with Podium Parking	\$330,871	\$330,871	\$330,871
Financing Gap (Development Costs—Unit Value)	\$195,614	\$229,770	\$298,082

 $Source: BRIDGE\ Housing\ Corporation; Affordable\ housing\ developers; Economic\ \&\ Planning\ Systems, Inc.$

APPENDIX 3, TABLE 4: VALUE OF LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS

Tax Credit Calculation	9% Tax Credit		4% Tax Credit	
	Non Elevator	Elevator	Non Elevator	Elevator
Federal Tax Credit				
Eligible Basis Limit per Unit	\$127,158	\$134,143	\$127,158	\$134,143
Allowed Boosts to Basis:				
Structured Parking	0%	7%	0%	7%
Payment of Prevailing Wage	20%	20%	20%	20%
Day Care Center Included	0%	0%	0%	0%
Special Needs Population Served	0%	0%	0%	0%
Energy Efficient Technologies Used	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tax Exempt Bond Financing	0%	0%	80%	80%
Adjusted Eligible Basis	\$152,590	\$170,362	\$254,316	\$277,676
Tax Credit Rate	8.40%	8.40%	3.40%	3.40%
Annual Credit	\$12,818	\$14,310	\$8,647	\$9,441
10-year Value	\$128,175	\$143,104	\$86,467	\$94,410
Sale of 99.99% Interest	\$128,162	\$143,089	\$86,459	\$94,400
Sale Price	90%	90%	100%	100%
Value of Federal Tax Credit per Unit	\$115,346	\$128,780	\$86,459	\$94,400
Sales Tax Credit				
Adjusted Eligible Basis	\$152,590	\$170,362	n/a	n/a
Tax Credit %	30%	30%		
Total State Tax Credit	\$45,777	\$51,108		
Sale of 99.99% Interest	\$45,772	\$51,103		
Sale Price:	70%	70%		
Value of State Tax Credit Per Unit	\$32,041	\$35,772		
Combined Value of Federal and State Credit/Unit	\$147,387	\$164,553	\$86,459	\$94,400

^{1.} Assumes 2-bedroom Unit.

Sources: BRIDGE Housing Corporation, January 9, 2006; Economic & Planning Systems, Inc.

Assumes basis boosts that are most likely.
 Assumes 9% application competitive enough to win state credits as well.

^{4.} Assumes San José continues to be in non-high cost areas as designated by HUD.

APPENDIX 3, TABLE 5: FINANCING GAP ANALYSIS INCLUDING ESTIMATED TAX CREDIT PROCEEDS

Item	60% of MFI	50% of MFI	30% of MFI
With 4% Tax Credit			
Three-Story Buildings with Surface Parking			
Total Development Costs per Unit with Surface Parking	\$268,909	\$268,909	\$268,909
Less "4% Tax Credit" Value ¹	\$86,459	\$86,459	\$86,459
Less Total Supportable Mortgage Proceeds	\$135,257	\$101,101	\$32,789
Financing Gap (Unit Value—Development Costs)	(\$47,193)	(\$81,349)	(\$149,661)
Four-Story Buildings with Podium Parking			
Total Development Costs per Unit with Podium Parking	\$330,871	\$330,871	\$330,871
Less "4% Tax Credit" Value ¹	\$94,400	\$94,400	\$94,400
Less Total Supportable Mortgage Proceeds	\$135,257	\$101,101	\$32,789
Financing Gap (Unit Value—Development Costs)	(\$101,213)	(\$135,369)	(\$203,681)
With 9% Tax Credit			
Three-Story Buildings with Surface Parking			
Total Development Costs per Unit with Surface Parking	\$268,909	\$268,909	\$268,909
Less "9% Tax Credit" Value ¹	\$147,387	\$147,387	\$147,387
Less Total Supportable Mortgage Proceeds	\$135,257	\$101,101	\$32,789
Financing Gap (Unit Value—Development Costs)	\$0	(\$20,421)	(\$88,733)
Four-Story Buildings with Podium Parking			
Total Development Costs per Unit with Podium Parking	\$330,871	\$330,871	\$330,871
Less "9% Tax Credit" Value ¹	\$164,553	\$164,553	\$164,553
Less Total Supportable Mortgage Proceeds	\$135,257	\$101,101	\$32,789
Financing Gap (Unit Value—Development Costs)	(\$31,061)	(\$65,217)	(\$133,529)

¹ Tax Credit values have been estimated by BRIDGE Housing Corporation, as shown on Table 4.

 $Source: \textit{``Affordable Housing Finance'' magazine's '`Affordable Housing Handbook''; BRIDGE Housing Corporation; Economic \& Planning Systems, Inc. \\$

APPENDIX 4: SUSTAINABILITY AND GREEN BUILDING DESIGN MEASURES

The following green building design measures should be utilized to enhance sustainability within new development.

Site Design

New development should incorporate passive solar orientation to optimize solar access. New development should use water conservation measures wherever possible.

Building HVAC and Appliances

- Insulate all hot water pipes and install On-Demand Hot Water Circulation System
- Use engineered parallel piping
- Install High Efficiency Toilets (Toilets that use less than 1.3 gallons per flush (gpf)) called High-Efficiency Toilets (HETs). This category of fixture includes dual-flush toilets, 1.0-gpf pressureassist toilets, and conventional gravity fed toilets.)
- Install ENERGY STAR® Appliances
- Install separate garage exhaust fans
- Design and install HVAC System to ACCA recommendations
- Install Sealed Combustion (Direct Vent) furnaces and water heaters
- Install ENERGY STAR® ceiling fans with CFLs
- Install Ventilation System for Nighttime Cooling
- Install air conditioning with Non-HCFC Refrigerants
- Install High Efficiency HVAC Filter
- Install Zoned, Hydronic Radiant Heating with Slab Edge Insulation
- Install tankless water heaters

- Install water heaters with Energy Factor >0.62
- Install High Efficiency Furnace (AFUE 90 % or higher)
- Install High Efficiency Air Conditioner (SEER >13) with a Thermostatic Expansion Valve (TXV)

Building Architecture & Materials

- Design and build Energy STAR®'s High Performance Homes
- Meet ENERGY STAR®'s Indoor Air Quality Package Requirements
- Install Solar Water Heating System
- Install Photovoltaic (PV) Panels where possible
- Reduce solar heat gain through exterior surfaces by using light exterior colors or paints with reflective pigments
- Incorporate a minimum 30% Flyash in concrete in foundations and parking garages
- Apply Optimal Value Engineering (Advanced Framing)
- Use Engineered Lumber
- Use FSC-Certified Wood for framing
- Use Oriented Strand Board (OSB) for subfloor and sheathing
- Reduce pollution entering the building from the garages—Tightly seal the air barrier between garage and habitable areas
- Use recycled-content decking (avoid virgin plastic) Install recycled-content insulation

- Install Insulation that is low emitting for formaldehyde and volatile organic compounds (Certified Section 01350)
- Use Low-VOC or Zero-VOC Paint
- Use Low-VOC, water-based wood finishes
- Use Low-VOC Adhesives and Caulks
- Provide permanent walk-off mats at building entrances
- Use rapidly renewable trim materials
- Use recycled-content materials
- Reduce Formaldehyde in Interior Finishes
- Use rapidly renewable flooring materials
- Use recycled-content ceramic tiles
- Use flooring that is low-emitting (Section 01350 or Green Label Plus)
- All new buildings should incorporate sustainable building design and meet LEED certification criteria to the maximum extent feasible.
- Every structure should incorporate
 multiple sustainability aspects in roof
 design, including "green roofs" and
 rooftop patios as appropriate. Solar
 hot-water heating, photovoltaic and
 "cool roof" design shall be incorporated
 if necessary by modifying building
 design and orientation. Every building
 should include adequate storage for
 waste and recycling.

Construction Measures

 Protect ducts during construction and clean all ducts before occupancy

APPENDIX 5: GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Ac-ft/yr: Acre-feet per year

ACE: Altamont Commuter Express

ARWT: Advanced Recycled Water Treatment

BART: Bay Area Rapid Transit.

Bio-Swale: Landscaped area used to filter stormwater.

BMPs: Post-Construction Best Management Practices. BMPs are defined as methods, activities, maintenance procedures, or other management practices for reducing the amount of pollution entering a water body.

C-3 Permit: The City of San José's development review process to control the flow of stormwater and stormwater pollutants from new and redeveloped sites.

CDFA: California Department of Food and Agriculture.

CCOF: California Certified Organic Farmers.

Central Commons: A linear park ranging in width from 100 feet to 300 feet and including school sites with shared ballfields, connecting Coyote Creek Corridor to realigned Fisher Creek Corridor.

CEQA: The California Environmental Quality Act. In general, CEQA requires that all private and public projects be reviewed prior to approval for their potential adverse effects upon the environment.

City Council: The City of San José's legislative body. The City Council is comprised of the Mayor, who is elected by the community at-large, and ten councilmembers who are elected by districts. They are responsible for enacting ordinances, imposing taxes, making appropriations, establishing policy, and hiring some city officials. The Council adopts the local general plan, zoning, and subdivision ordinance.

COP: California Organic Program, Sacramento

Core, The: The town center of the Coyote Valley Plan.

CVP: Coyote Valley Plan.

DOT: City of San José Department of Transportation.

DU/AC: Dwelling units per acre.

EIR: Environmental Impact Report. A detailed review of a proposed project, its potential adverse impacts upon the environment, measures that may avoid or reduce those impacts, and alternatives to the project.

ELI: Extremely Low-Income. Households earning less than 30% of the area median income.

F.A.R.: Floor area ratio. A ratio of floor area of a structure to the area of a lot.

FEMA: Federal Emergency Management Agency.

General Plan: The City of San José's long term plan for development. A

statement of policies, including text and diagrams setting forth objectives, principles, standards and plan proposals, for the future physical development of the city.

Greenbelt: South Coyote Valley area planned as a non-urban buffer between the City of San José and the City of Morgan Hill.

Hamlet, The: The historic village in Coyote Valley.

HOV: High Occupancy Vehicles (e.g. express shuttles, carpools, etc.).

HMP: Hydromodification Management Plan. Delineates areas where increases in post-project runoff may result in increased potential for erosion or other adverse impacts and proposes methods of managing such situations to maintain the pre-project discharge rates and/or durations after development.

HUD: Housing and Urban Development.

ID: Industry-Driving. Jobs that help promote new industry in San José. (Includes all jobs except government, quasi-public and retail jobs.)

JPB: Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board.

LAFCO: Santa Clara County Local Agency Formation Commission. A State mandated local agency set up to oversee the boundaries of cities and special districts.

Lake: The proposed 52-acre lake at the core of Coyote Valley.

LEED: Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design.

LI: Low-Income. Households earning less than 80% of the area median income.

LRT: Light Rail Transit.

MEC: Metcalf Energy Center.

Median: The landscaped area between vehicular travel lanes.

Mgd: million gallons per day.

MHUSD: Morgan Hill Unified School District.

Mixed-Use: Lower floor commercial with office and/or residential above.

MOD: Moderate Income. Households earning between 81%-120% of the area median income.

Negative Declaration: A written statement by the City of San José briefly describing the reasons that a proposed project, not exempt from CEQA, will not have a significant effect on the environment and therefore does not require the preparation of an EIR.

NEPA: National Environmental Policy Act.

NFIP: National Flood Insurance Program.

Non-ID: Non-industry-driving jobs.

Non-Urban Buffer: An area without urban development.

NPDES: National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.

PDO/PIO: Parkland Dedication/Park Impact Ordinance.

PG&E: Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Plan: A plan addressing land use distribution, open space availability, infrastructure, and infrastructure financing for a portion of the community. Plans put the provisions of the general plan into action.

PRNS: The City of San José
Department of Parks Recreation and
Neighborhood Services Department.

Promenade, Lakeside: The pedestrian-oriented area surrounding the Coyote Valley lake.

Public Realm: The area devoted to public uses (e.g. parks, schools, libraries, etc.).

R&D: Research and Development.

RCB: Reinforced concrete box.

Recharge Ponds: Ponds that recharge the groundwater.

Riparian Corridor, Coyote Valley: The Coyote Creek and Fisher Creek Corridors in Coyote Valley.

RWQCB: California Regional Water Quality Control Board.

SCVURPPP: Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program.

SCVWD: The Santa Clara Valley Water District. The primary water resources agency for Santa Clara County.

SFO: San Francisco International Airport.

Smart-Growth: Comprehensive planning to guide, design, develop, revitalize and build communities for all that have a

unique sense of community and place, preserve and enhance valuable natural and cultural resources, equitably distribute the costs and benefits of development, expand the range of transportation, employment and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner, value long-range, regional considerations of sustainability over short term incremental geographically isolated actions, and promotes public health and healthy communities.

SRO: Single Room Occupancy Residential Use.

Sustainability: The capacity to equitably meet the vital human needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs by preserving and protecting the area's ecosystems and natural resources (as defined by the American Planning Association).

Sustainable Design: Strives to strike a balance among the needs of people, nature, and the built environment. It is an approach that recognizes the long-term benefits of consuming fewer resources, saving energy, and conserving water, which will help to minimize impacts to the environment.

TAC Coyote Valley: Technical Advisory Committee advisory to the CVP Task Force.

Task Force: The 20-member Coyote Valley Plan Task Force, appointed by the San José City Council.

TCMs: Treatment Control Measures.

TDM: Transportation Demand Management. Measure to minimize use of single occupant vehicles and encourage pedestrian, bicycle and transit alternatives.

Transit-Oriented Development:

Development that is organized around transit and that promotes the use of transit.

Tri-Valley: The Tri-Valley region of California is based around the San Francisco Bay Area cities of Pleasanton, Livermore, Dublin and San Ramon in the three valleys from which it takes its name: Amador Valley, Livermore Valley and San Ramon Valley.

UPRR: Union Pacific Railroad.

Urban Canal: Coyote Valley Urban Canal helps to aerate the lake and convey stormwater runoff.

Urban Reserve: Areas which may be appropriate for urban development and inclusion in the City of San José's Urban Service Area in the future when circumstances are appropriate. The

Urban Reserve designation enables the City to plan and phase growth based on the need and ability to provide the necessary facilities and services to support additional residential growth.

USA: Urban Service Area. The area in the City where urban services are, or will be, available to serve urban development.

USDA: United States Department of Agriculture.

VTA: Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority. An independent special district responsible for bus and light rail operations, congestion management, specific highway improvement projects, and countywide transportation planning.

VLI: Very Low-Income. Households earning less than 50% of the area median income.

WPCP: San José/Santa Clara Water Pollution Control Plant.

Zoning: Local codes regulating the use and development of property. The zoning ordinance divides the city or county into land use districts or "zones" represented on zoning maps, and specifies the allowable uses within each of those zones. It establishes development standards for each zone, such as minimum lot size, maximum height of structures, building setbacks, and yard size.

100-Year Flood Zone: Area that is subject to flooding once in 100 years on the average; equivalent to the one percent annual chance of flood (as determined by the US Federal Emergency Management Agency).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS The following people are gratefully acknowledged for their contribution to the development of the Coyote Valley Plan.

Mayor and City Council

Mayor Chuck Reed

Pete Constant, District 1

Forrest Williams, District 2

Sam Licardo, District 3

Kansen Chu, District 4

Nora Campos, District 5

Pierluigi Oliverio, District 6

Madison P. Nguyen, District 7

David D. Cortese, District 8

Judy Chirco, District 9

Nancy Pyle, District 10

Former Councilmembers

Linda J. Lezotte, District 1

Cindy Chavez, District 3

Chuck Reed, District 4

Ken Yeager, District 6

Coyote Valley Plan Task Force

Councilmember Forrest Williams, District 2, Co-Chair

Councilmember Nancy Pyle, District 10, Co-Chair

Supervisor Don Gage, County Supervisor

Chuck Butters, Brandenburg-Butters

Eric Carruthers, Retired Planner

Helen Chapman, San José Parks and Recreation Commission

Pat Dando, San José-Silicon Valley Chamber of Commerce (Former Vice Mayor)

Russ Danielson, Former Board Member, MHUSD

Gladwyn D'Souza, Bicycle Advisory Commission

Craige Edgerton, Silicon Valley Land Conservancy

Phaedra Ellis-Lamkins, South Bay AFL-CIO

Dan Hancock, Shapell Industries

Melissa Hippard, Sierra Club, Loma Prieta Chapter

Doreen Morgan, San José Senior Commission

Chris Platten, San José Planning Commission

Ken Saso, Property Owner

Steve Schott Jr., Citation Homes

Steve Speno, Gibson and Speno

Neil Struthers, Santa Clara and San Benito Counties Building and Construction Trades

Former Task Force Members

Ron Gonzales, Former Mayor and Co-Chair

Amy Dean, Formerly of South Bay AFL-CIO

Terry Watt, Formerly of Silicon Valley Conservation Council

Jim Cunneen, Formerly of San José-Silicon Valley Chamber of Commerce

Urban Design/Land Planning Consultants

Dahlin Group

Doug Dahlin, President
Roger Shanks, Principal/Senior Planner
Mercedes Trujillo, Exec. Admin. Assistant
Padru Kang, Project Manager
Justin Doull, Associate Planner
Jack Hsu, Associate Planner
Stephanie Chang, Junior Planner
Paula Buzatu, Digital Graphic Artists

KenKay Associates

Ken Kay, FALSA, Principal
Paul Barber, Senior Associate
Bruce Fukuji, AIA, Senior Associate
Cindy Hui, Associate
Yasmine Farazian, Professional Staff
Anna Felecio-Price, Administration
Eunjin Kim, Professional Staff
Craig Kay, Administration

Development Design Group

Michael Alston, Principal

Crawford Multari & Clark Associates

Paul C. Crawford, FAICP, CNU, President

Economic Consultants

Economic and Planning Systems

Jim Musbach, Managing Principal
Darin Smith, Senior Vice President
Teifion Rice-Evans, Senior Vice President
Paul Woods, Vice President
Jungjoo Pak, Senior Associate

Facilitation and Outreach

Apex Strategies

Eileen Goodwin, President

Art Direction/Design

Eric Gouldsberry Art Direction (EGAD)

Eric Gouldsberry, Art Director/Owner

Printing

Vision Press

Andy Nilon

Technical Consultants

David J. Powers and Associates

David J. Powers,
Judy Shanley, President
Jodi Starbird, Senior Project Manager

HMH Engineering

Bill Wagner, President
Jim Thompson, Project Manager
Howard Selznick, Senior Engineer
Mike Campbell, Senior Planner
Jennifer Moore, Design Engineer
Nabaz Saieed, Design Engineer
Leo Castillo, GIS Technician

Schaaf and Wheeler

Charles D. Anderson, P.E., Vice President/Project Manager M. Eliza McNulty, P.E., Associate Engineer/Project Engineer Erica J. Cruz, Assistant Engineer
Stephanie L. Conran, Assistant Engineer
Lise Shannon, Editor

WRA Inc.

Mike Josselyn, President
Tom Fraser, Senior Wildlife Biologist
Phil Greer, Senior Plant Ecologist
Dana Riggs, Wildlife Biologist
Trina Schneider, Wildlife Biologist
Justin Davilla, Biologist
Becky Miller, Biologist
Justin Semion, Biologist
Chris Zumwait, GIS Manager

Hexagon Transportation Consultants

Gary Black, President
Mike Waller, Principal Associate
Robert Del Rio, Principle Associate
At van den Hout, Principle Associate
Massimo Loporto, Planner

Engeo, Inc.

Uri Eliahu, GE, President/Principle Engineer Julia Moriarty, GE, Principle Engineer Raymond Skinner, CEG, Associate Geologist Kelly Krohn, Staff Engineer Matthew Harrell, Staff Geologist Anthony Schuetze, Staff Geologist

Basin Research Associates

Colin Busby, Principal Melody Tannam, Archeologist

TRC Solutions

Ron Helm, President Stason Foster, Associate Leo Alvarez, Associates

Public Agencies

Santa Clara Valley Water District

Melanie Richardson, Asst. Operating Office Marc Klemencic, Exec. Program Manager Barbara Judd, Senior Engineer Bill Smith, Environmental. Planner II Vince Stephens, Associate Engineer Sean Rose, Associate Civil Engineer

Valley Transportation Authority

Mike Tasosa, Planning & Programming Chris Augenstein, Congestion Management Program

Morgan Hill Unified School District

Alan Nishino, Superintendent
Bonnie Tognazzini, Deputy Superintendent
Peter Mandel, Board Member
Shelle Thomas, Board Member
Carolyn McKennan, (Former Superintendent)
Julie Zintsmaster, Sec. to Superintendent
Peggy Pappani, Exec. Secretary

City Staff

City Managers Office

Debra Figone, City Manager Larry Lisenbee, Acting Budget Director Kimberly Shunk, Emergency Services, Director

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

Joseph Horwedel, Director
Laurel Prevetti, Assistant Director
Salifu Yakubu, Principal Planner
Darryl Boyd, Principal Planner
Susan Walsh, Senior Planner
Michael Mena, Senior Planner
Jared Hart, Planner II
Sylvia Do, Planner I
Stefanie Hom, Planner I
Perihan Ozdemir, Geographic Systems Specialist
Regina Mancera, Office Specialist II

City Attorney

Richard Doyle, City Attorney Renee Gurza, Senior Deputy City Attorney Vera Todorov, Senior Deputy City Attorney

Department of Parks, Recreation and Neighborhood Services

Albert Belasgo, Director Scott Reese, (Former Deputy Director) Dave Mitchell, Parks Planning Manager Alina Kwak, Management Fellow Nancy Johnson

Department of Public Works

Katy Allen, Director
Timm Borden, Deputy Director
Harry Freitas, Deputy Director
Primo DeGuzman, Acting Division Manager
Ebrahim Sohrabi, Senior Civil Engineer
Maria Angeles, Associate Civil Engineer
Winnie Pagan, Associate Civil Engineer
Gerry DeGuzman, Associate Civil Engineer
Mike Shimamoto, City Geologist

Department of Transportation

Jim Helmer, Director Hans Larsen, Deputy Director Manuel Pineda, Division Manager Luke Vong, Associate Civil Engineer

Environmental Services Department

John Stufflebean, Director
Fran McVey, (Former Chief Deputy Director)
Randy Shipes, Deputy Director
Melody Tovar, Deputy Director
Dale Ihrke (Muni Water), Deputy Director
Mansour Nasser (Muni Water), Acting Deputy Director
Mary Ellen Dick, Assistant to the Director
Mary Tucker, Environmental Program Manager

Michael Foster, Environmental Service Specialist Diane Zarate, Supervisor Environmental Service Specialist Kirsten Struve, Supervisor Environmental Services Specialist Anastazia Aziz, Acting Supervisor Environmental Services Specialist Matt Krupp, Planner II

Finance Department

Scott Johnson, Director of Finance Julia Cooper, Deputy Director Lisa Taitano, Financial Analyst

Fire Department

Darryl Von Raesfeld, Assistant Fire Chief Geoff Cady, Administrative Officer Luis Da Silva, Senior Engineer Ed Tolentino, Senior Engineer

General Services

Peter Jensen, Director
Juan Murillo, Custodial Supervisor
Ken Korpi, Electrical Supervisor
Paul Hamilton, Senior Electrician
Rogelio Ascencio, Electrician
Tim Brager, Electrician
Frank Crusco, Electrician
Martin Fontes, Electrician
John Matteroli, Electrician
Rich Sigona, Electrician
John Wildemuth, Electrician

Housing Department

Leslye Krutko, Director Mike Meyer (Former Assistant Director) Tom McCrostie, Housing Department Official Karen Wing, Division Manager Wayne Chen, Policy Development Officer Rebecca Flores, Senior Development Specialist Vivian Frelix-Hart, Homeless Coordinator

Library Department

Jane Light, Director/City Librarian Richard Desmond, Deputy Director

Office of Cultural Affairs

Barbara Goldstein, Public Art Director JenJoy Roybal, Project Coordinator

Office of Economic Development

Paul Krutko, Chief Development Officer Kim Walesh, Assistant Director Nanci Klein, Corporate Outreach Manager Dhez Woodworth, Economic Development Officer

Police Department

Robert Davis, Police Chief William Miller, Police Officer Karen Johnson, Police Data Specialist II

Redevelopment Agency

Harry Mavrogenes, Executive Director

Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

California Dept. of Fish & Game, Carl Wilcox, David Johnston, Scott Wilson

US EPA Region #9, Luisa Valiela, Mike Monroe

California Highway Patrol (Gilroy/Hollister area), Otto Knorr

California Native Plant Society, Libby Lucas

Caltrans, Jose Olveda, Tim Sable, Wingate Lew

City of Gilroy, Don Dey, Kristi Abrams

City of Morgan Hill, David Bischoff, Kathy Molloy Previsich,

Rebecca Tolentino, Scott Plambaeck

Committee for Green Foothills, Brian Schmidt

Coyote Housing Group, Kerry Williams, President

Coyote Valley Alliance for Smart Planning, Tedd Faraone

EHC LifeBuilders, Stefanie Schaaf

Friends of Coyote Greenbelt (FROG), Trixie Johnson

Gavilan Community College, Steven M. Kinsella

Greenbelt Alliance, Kyle Simpson, Michele Beasley, Jessica Fitchen

Guadalupe-Coyote Resource Conservation District, Nancy Bernardi

Habitat for Humanity, Mary Hughes

Home Builders Association of Northern California,

Beverly Bryant, Jennifer Rodriguez

JPB/Caltrain, Michele Difrancia, Marisa Espionsa

League of Women Voters A76, Brenda McHenry,

Bobbie Fischler

 $MHUSD, Bonnie\ Tognazzini, Dr.\ Alan\ Nishino, Peggy\ Pappani,$

Carolyn McKennan

Mid-Peninsula Regional Open Space, Randy Anderson

National Association of Industrial Office Parks, Dennis Martin,

Pat Sausedo

NOAA Fisheries National Marine Fisheries Services,

Maura Eagan Moody

Office of Senator Abel Maldonado, Elizabeth Petrinovich

San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board,

Brian Wines, Dale Bowyer, Janet O'Hara, John Muller,

Richard McMurty

San Jose Historic Landmarks Commission, Sandra Paim

San Jose Water Company, Rich Roth

Santa Clara Audubon Society, Bob Power, Craig Breon,

Juliana Chow, Brenda Torres-Barreto

Santa Clara County (LAFCO), Neelima Palacherla, Dunia Noel

Santa Clara County Assoc. of Realtors, Paul A Stewart II,

Rebecca Van Dahlen, Shanna Boigon

Santa Clara County Dept. of Planning & Development,

Michael Lopez, Bill Shoe, Ann Draper

Santa Clara County Farm Bureau, Jenny Derry, Kip Brundage

Santa Clara County Open Space Authority, Patrick Congdon

Santa Clara County Parks, Elish Ryan, Jane Mark,

Mark Frederick, Lisa Killough

Santa Clara County Roads & Airports Dept., Dawn Cameron,

Mike Griffis

Santa Clara Valley Transit Authority (VTA), Chris Augenstein,

Mike Tasosa, Anne Jamison, Christina Schlesser,

George Tacke, Jim Lightbody, Pamela Arora,

Pamela Vasudeva, Steve Fisher

Santa Clara Valley Water District (SCVWD), Stanley Williams,

Jennifer Castillo, Mike Lipman, Barbara Judd, Bill Smith,

Dave Higgins, Melanie Richardson, Sean Rose, Theo Hipol,

Tracy Hemmeter, Vincent Stephens

Senator Bruce McPherson's Office, Kathryn Nation

Sobrato Development Corp., Tim Steele

The Nature Conservancy, Lloyd Wagstaff

The Silicon Valley Pollution Prevention Center,

Patrick T. Ferraro

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Holly Costa, Mark Wingate,

Phelicia Thompson

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Cori Mustin, Laura Valoppi,

Vicky Campbell, Eric Tattersall

Victory Outreach Church, Jerry Amaro

Working Partnerships, Sarah Muller

We apologize if any individuals have been inadvertently omitted.

Also, a special thank you

to the more than 1,600 people who have attended Task Force and community meetings, served on the sub-committees and focus groups, reviewed newsletters, provided input on line, and participated in the Specific Plan process in other ways.



